# Discussion of "Does a Big Bazooka Matter? Central Bank Balance-Sheet Policies and Exchange Rates"

Pengfei Wang

HKUST

October 2017

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

1/10

## The Contribution

- This paper documenting the dynamic impact of central bank asset purchase on exchange rate over time
- It decomposes the importance of different transmission channels
  - Signalling channel contributes very little
  - Timing varying risk premium does not matter much
  - The deviations from covered interest rate parity is important

# Main Finding

- An exogenous increase of ECB balance sheet relative to that of the federal reserve leads to
  - bare change in policy rate differential
  - persistent depreciates of Euro
  - significant decline in three month money market rate in Euro
  - little change in risk premium
  - a fall in CIP deviations, consistent with the notation that an expansion of ECB's balance sheet relative to that of the Federal Reserve lowers the cost of borrowing in euro money market

### The Empirical Framework

The paper mainly exploit a modified uncovered interest rate parity equation



Solving  $s_t$  forward yields

$$s_t = E_t s_{t+T} + E_t \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \left[ r_{t+j}^E - r_{t+j}^{\$} + \lambda_{t+j} + p_{t+j} \right]$$

similarly

$$s_{t-1} = E_{t-1}s_{t+T} + E_{t-1}\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \left[ r_{t+j}^{E} - r_{t+j}^{\$} + \lambda_{t+j} + p_{t+j} \right] + r_{t-1}^{E} - r_{t-1}^{\$} + \lambda_{t-1} + p_{t-1}$$

4 / 10

### The Empirical Framework

### Similarly

$$s_{t-1} = E_{t-1}s_{t+T} + E_{t-1}\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \left[ r_{t+j}^{E} - r_{t+j}^{\$} + \lambda_{t+j} + \rho_{t+j} \right] + r_{t-1}^{E} - r_{t-1}^{\$} + \lambda_{t-1} + \rho_{t-1}$$

And hence we have

$$s_t - s_{t-1} = -\left[r_{t-1}^E - r_{t-1}^\$ + \lambda_{t-1} + p_{t-1}\right] + \Gamma \varepsilon_t$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  include policy shock. By construction,  $\varepsilon_t$  is independent of information available in period t - 1.

### The Empirical Framework

one of  $\varepsilon_t$  is linked the observable change relative balance sheet by assuming



## Overview

- Very interesting and timely
- Good guidance for future theoretical work
- Reduced-form single equation partial equilibrium regression

### Comments

Back to the key equation

$$s_t = E_t(s_{t+1}) + r_t^E - r_t^\$ + \lambda_t + p_t$$

if one assume  ${\it p}_t = ilde{\it p}_t + \gamma {\it s}_t$  , then she obtains

$$s_t = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} E_t(s_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[ r_t^E - r_t^\$ + p_t \right]$$

This leads to

$$s_t - s_{t-1} = -\left[r_{t-1}^E - r_{t-1}^\$ + \lambda_{t-1} + p_{t-1}\right] + \Gamma \varepsilon_t$$

The error term will have serious correlation as it involves

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+\gamma}\right)^{j} E_{t}(x_{t+j}) - \left(\frac{1}{1+\gamma}\right)^{j+1} E_{t-1}(x_{t+j})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Comments

Without some theoretical restriction of the joint determination of  $s_t$ ,  $r_t^E$ ,  $\lambda_t$ ,  $p_t$ , we can also solve  $s_t$  backward

$$s_t = s_{t-1} - \left[r_{t-1}^E - r_{t-1}^{\$} + \lambda_{t-1} + p_{t-1}\right] + \underbrace{s_t - E_{t-1}(s_t)}_{\Gamma \varepsilon_t}$$

But

$$s_{t+h} = s_{t-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{h} \left[ r_{t-1+j}^{E} - r_{t-1+j}^{\$} + \lambda_{t-1+j} + p_{t-1+j} \right] + \Gamma \sum_{j=0}^{h} \varepsilon_{t+j}$$

### Suggestion

Jointly estimate  $r_t^E$ ,  $r_t^{\$}$  and exchange. For example we can augmented the above model with the standard Calvo sticky price model, namely

$$\begin{aligned} r_{t}^{E} - r_{t}^{\$} &= \phi(\pi_{t}^{E} - \pi_{t}^{\$}) + \phi_{y}(y_{t}^{E} - y_{t}^{\$}) + \underbrace{\eta_{t|t}}_{\text{unexpected}} + \underbrace{\eta_{t+1|t}}_{\text{anticipated}} \\ (y_{t}^{E} - y_{t}^{\$}) &= E_{t}(y_{t+1}^{E} - y_{t+1}^{\$}) - [r_{t}^{E} - r_{t}^{\$} - (E_{t}\pi_{t+1}^{E} - E_{t}\pi_{t+1}^{\$})] \\ (\pi_{t}^{E} - \pi_{t}^{\$}) &= \beta(E_{t}\pi_{t+1}^{E} - E_{t}\pi_{t+1}^{\$}) + \kappa(y_{t}^{E} - y_{t}^{\$}) \\ s_{t} &= E_{t}(s_{t+1}) + r_{t}^{E} - r_{t}^{\$} + \lambda_{t} + p_{t} \end{aligned}$$