

Exploring Euro Area Exposure to the Risk of Geoeconomic Fragmentation

Authors: Gergely Hudecz, Alexandre Lauwers, Yasin Mimir, Graciela Schiliuk, Li Lian Ong, Hongyan Zhao, Diana del Rosario

HKIMR-ECB-BOFIT Joint Conference January 14-15, 2025, Hong Kong

Discussion by: Tuuli McCully
Bank of Finland Institute for Emerging Economies (BOFIT), Bank of Finland

Summary of the paper – Part 1: From integration to fragmentation

- Economic and political uncertainty due to geopolitical tensions adversely impacts both the supply side and the demand side of the economy.
- Fragmentation can be observed through reduced cross-border flows of people, goods, services, and capital.
- Financial fragmentation:
 - Bilateral financial interlinkages have weakened, and cross-border investment is becoming concentrated on partner countries.
 - Financial fragmentation can lead to reduced risk appetite, disruptions in payment systems, and limited financing sources.
 - Fragmentation may also result in a higher risk of sudden stops, suboptimal capital allocation, and reduced investment globally.
 - → These trends could threaten macro-financial stability.

Summary of the paper – Part 2: Exploring euro area exposure to the risk of geoeconomic fragmentation

- The euro area appears generally resilient, yet its trade and financial exposures to geopolitically distant countries present some vulnerabilities if geopolitical tensions escalate.
 - Countries are classified into geopolitical groups based on their votes in the UNGA in 2022.
- Empirical part: What are the implications of geoeconomic fragmentation for euro area countries,
 with a particular focus on the financial transmission channel?
 - Research question 1: Do investors factor in geopolitical alignment when allocating capital to foreign resident partners?
 - Gravity model (PPML) applied to bilateral cross-border financial ties.
 - Research question 2: What are the impacts of geopolitical risk shocks on financial flows in the euro area?
 - Bayesian vector autoregression (BVAR) models with endogenous regime shifts in geopolitical tensions.

Main findings 1

Research question 1: Do investors factor in geopolitical alignment when allocating capital to foreign resident partners?

- Geopolitical factors shape financial ties between countries.
 - → Investors allocate smaller investment shares to geopolitically distant partners.
- Portfolio investment to and from the euro area are particularly sensitive to geopolitics.
 - → Potential for portfolio flow reversals if geopolitical tensions escalate.
- Foreign reserves in euros are more sensitive to geopolitical distance than reserves in US dollars.

Main findings 2

Research question 2: What are the impacts of geopolitical risk shocks on financial flows in the euro area?

- Rising geopolitical risks increase global financial uncertainty and reduce euro area stock prices.
- Euro area displays safe haven characteristics, but capital inflows vary across asset classes and geopolitical risk regimes.
- When geopolitical tensions are elevated, there is a higher risk of portfolio outflows from the euro area.
- Euro area investors tend to sell foreign equities and debt securities, while foreign investors buy euro area instruments during geopolitical shocks.

General impressions

- Informative and well written paper.
- Important, timely, and policy-relevant topic.
- Trade fragmentation is under heavy research agenda, but fragmentation of financial flows less so; the paper helps fill this gap.
- Information overload; how to make key points and findings stand out?
- Data availability is an issue (as always).
 - Are there alternatives to the GPR?
 - Measuring geopolitical distance: s-score method / ideal point estimates / others?

Discussion

- The concentration of euro area financial exposures in FDI and portfolio debt liabilities highlights potential vulnerabilities.
 - → How can the euro area mitigate these risks, especially given the significant role of geopolitically distant countries as ultimate investors?
- The role of financial hubs like Luxembourg and the Netherlands in conduit FDI is notable.
 - → How can the euro area ensure that these hubs do not become points of vulnerability in times of geopolitical tension?
- The paper suggests several policy recommendations, such as enhancing resilience through regional cooperation and financial integration.
 - → What specific steps can be taken to implement these recommendations effectively?
- The paper highlights the need for further analysis on the implications of geoeconomic fragmentation.
 - → What specific areas should future research focus on to provide more comprehensive insights?



Thank you!

Discussion by: Tuuli McCully
Bank of Finland Institute for Emerging
Economies (BOFIT)
Bank of Finland
Public | BOF/FIN-FSA-UNRESTRICTED

